| 1 | | |
|-----|--|----------------------|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 8 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON | |
| 9 | AT SEAT | ΓLE |
| 10 | KATHERINE MOUSSOURIS, et al., | CASE NO. C15-1483JLR |
| 11 | Plaintiffs, | ORDER |
| 12 | V. | |
| 13 | MICROSOFT CORPORATION, | |
| 14 | Defendant. | |
| 15 | Before the court are the order and mandate of the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals. | |
| 16 | (Mem. (Dkt. # 523); Mandate (Dkt. # 524).) The Ninth Circuit affirmed the court's order | |
| 17 | denying Plaintiffs Katherine Moussouris, Holly Muenchow, and Dana Piermarini's | |
| 18 | motion for class certification. (See Mem.; Order Den. Class Cert. (Dkt. ## 504 (sealed), | |
| 19 | 508 (redacted).) Although the Ninth Circuit affirmed the court's order denying class | |
| 20 | certification, Ms. Moussouris and Ms. Muenchow have individual employment | |
| 21 | // | |
| 22 | // | |

discrimination claims that survived summary judgment in part. (See generally 2d Am. 1 2 Compl. (Dkt. # 55); MSJ Order (Dkt. ## 510 (sealed), 512 (redacted).) The court ORDERS the parties to meet and confer and file, by no later than April 3 6, 2020, a joint status report that includes a proposal for how this case should proceed and 4 5 an accompanying proposed timeline. The parties should attempt to agree in good faith on a unified approach. If they cannot so agree, they may outline their disparate suggestions 6 7 in the joint status report. 8 Dated this 6th day of March, 2020. 9 R. Rlit 10 JAMES L. ROBART 11 United States District Judge 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 ¹ While the appeal was pending, Ms. Piermarini and Microsoft stipulated to dismissal of 22 Ms. Piermarini's individual claims. (See 2/26/19 Order (Dkt. # 519).)